arXiv:1406.1262v1 [math.NT] 5 Jun 2014

ELLIPTIC CURVES WITH 2-TORSION CONTAINED IN THE 3-TORSION FIELD

J. BRAU AND N. JONES

Abstract. There is a modular curve $X'(6)$ of level 6 defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ whose $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points correspond to j-invariants of elliptic curves E over $\mathbb{Q}$ that satisfy $\mathbb{Q}(E[2]) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[3])$. In this note we characterize the j-invariants of elliptic curves with this property by exhibiting an explicit model of $X'(6)$. Our motivation is two-fold: on the one hand, $X'(6)$ belongs to the list of modular curves which parametrize non-Serre curves (and is not well-known), and on the other hand, $X'(6)(\mathbb{Q})$ gives an infinite family of examples of elliptic curves with non-abelian "entanglement fields," which is relevant to the systematic study of correction factors of various conjectural constants for elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$.

1. Introduction

Let K be a number field, let E be an elliptic curve over K, and for any positive integer n, let $E[n]$ denote the n-torsion of E. For a prime ℓ, let $E[\ell^\infty] := \bigcup_{m\geq 1} E[\ell^m]$, and furthermore put $E_{\mathrm{tors}} := \bigcup_{n\geq 1} E[n]$. Fixing a $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-basis of E_{tors}, for any prime ℓ there is an induced $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-basis of $E[\ell^\infty]$ and for any $n \geq 1$ there is an induced $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $E[n]$. Consider the Galois representations

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{E,n}\colon\ &\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(E[n]) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})\\ \rho_{E,\ell^\infty}\colon\ &\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(E[\ell^\infty]) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)\\ \rho_{E}\colon\ &\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(E_{\mathrm{tors}}) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}),\end{aligned}$$

each defined by letting $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ act on the appropriate set of torsion points, viewed relative to the appropriate basis.

A celebrated theorem of Serre [10] states that, if E is an elliptic curve over a number field K without complex multiplication ("non-CM"), then the Galois representation ρ_E has an open image with respect to the profinite topology on $\mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$, which is to say that $[\mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) : \rho_E(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K))] < \infty$. It is of interest to understand the image of ρ_E. To determine $\rho_E(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K))$ in practice, one begins by computing the ℓ-adic image $\rho_{E,\ell^\infty}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K))$ for each prime ℓ. One then has that

$$\rho_E(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)) \hookrightarrow \prod_\ell \rho_{E,\ell^\infty}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)) \subseteq \prod_\ell \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}),$$

and although the image of $\rho_E(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K))$ in $\prod_\ell \rho_{E,\ell^\infty}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K))$ projects onto each ℓ-adic factor, the inclusion may nevertheless be onto a proper subgroup. Understanding the image of $\rho_E(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)) \hookrightarrow \prod_\ell \rho_{E,\ell^\infty}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K))$ now amounts to understanding the *entanglement fields*

$$K(E[m_1]) \cap K(E[m_2]),$$

for each pair $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ which are relatively prime[1]. Note that any such intersection is necessarily Galois over K. One of the questions which motivates this note is the following.

Question 1.1. Given a number field K, can one classify the triples (E, m_1, m_2) with E an elliptic curve over K and m_1, m_2 a pair of co-prime integers for which the entanglement field $K(E[m_1]) \cap K(E[m_2])$ is non-abelian over K?

[1]Here and throughout the paper, $K(E[n]) := \overline{K}^{\ker \rho_{E,n}}$ denotes the *n-th division field* of E.

This question is closely related to the study of correction factors of various conjectural constants for elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$. In order to illustrate this point, consider the following elliptic curve analogue Artin's conjecture on primitive roots. For an elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$, determine the density of primes p such that E has good reduction at p and $\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a cyclic group, where $\tilde{E}$ denotes the mod p reduction of E. Note that the condition of $\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ being cyclic is completely determined by $\rho_E(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}))$. Indeed, $\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a cyclic group if and only if p does not split completely in the field extension $\mathbb{Q}(E[\ell])$ for any $\ell \neq p$.

By the Chebotarev density theorem, the set of primes p that do not split completely in $\mathbb{Q}(E[\ell])$ has density equal to

$$\delta_\ell = 1 - \frac{1}{[\mathbb{Q}(E[\ell]) : \mathbb{Q}]}.$$

If we assume that the various splitting conditions at each prime ℓ are independent, then it is reasonable to conjecture that the density of primes p for which $\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is cyclic is equal to $\prod_\ell \delta_\ell$. However, this assumption of independence is not correct, and this lack of independence is explained by the entanglement fields.

Serre showed in [11] that Hooley's method of proving Artin's conjecture on primitive roots can be adapted to prove that the density of primes p for which $\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is cyclic is given under GRH by the inclusion-exclusion sum

$$\delta(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{[\mathbb{Q}(E[n]) : \mathbb{Q}]} \tag{1}$$

where μ denotes the Möbius function. Taking into account entanglements between the various torsion fields implies that

$$\delta(E) = C_E \prod_\ell \delta_\ell$$

where C_E is an *entanglement correction factor*, and explicitely evaluating such densities amounts to computing the correction factors C_E. When all the entanglements fields of an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ are abelian, then the image of $\rho_E(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)) \hookrightarrow \prod_\ell \rho_{E,\ell^\infty}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K))$ is cut out by characters, and the correction factor can be given as a character sum. This method has the advantage that it is well-suited to deal with many other problems of this nature where the explicit evaluation of (1) becomes problematic. Understanding which non-abelian entanglements can occur is therefore important for the systematic study of such constants.

With respect to entanglement fields, the case $K = \mathbb{Q}$, although it is usually the first case considered, has a complication which doesn't arise over any other number field. Indeed, when the base field is $\mathbb{Q}$, the Kronecker-Weber theorem, together with the containment $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[n])$, *forces* the occurrence of non-trivial entanglement fields[2]. It was observed by Serre [10, Proposition 22] that for any elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ one has

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta_E}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[2]) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n), \tag{2}$$

where $n = 4|\Delta_E|$. This containment forces $\rho_E(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}))$ to lie in an appropriate index two subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$, so that one must have

$$[\mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) : \rho_E(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}))] \geq 2. \tag{3}$$

Several examples are known of elliptic curves E over $\mathbb{Q}$ for which the entanglement (2) is the only obstruction to surjectivity of ρ_E, i.e. for which equality holds in (3).

Definition 1.2. We call an elliptic curve E defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ a **Serre curve** if $[\mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) : \rho_E(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}))] = 2$.

In [5] it is shown using sieve methods that, when taken by height, almost all elliptic curves E over $\mathbb{Q}$ are Serre curves (see also [12], which generalizes this to the case $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$, and [8], which sharpens the upper bound to an asymptotic formula). In [1], different ideas are used to deduce stronger upper bounds for the number of elliptic curves in *one-parameter* families which are not Serre curves. These results are obtained by viewing non-Serre curves as coming from rational points on modular curves. More precisely, there is a family $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, X_2, \dots\}$ of modular curves with the property that, for each elliptic curve E, one has

$$E \text{ is not a Serre curve} \iff j(E) \in \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{X}} j(X(\mathbb{Q})), \tag{4}$$

[2]Here and throughout the paper, ζ_n denotes a primitive n-th root of unity.

where j denotes the natural projection followed by the usual j-map:

$$j : X \longrightarrow X(1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1. \tag{5}$$

In [1], the authors use (4) together with geometric methods to bound the number of non-Serre curves in a given one-parameter family. This brings us to the following question, which serves as additional motivation for the present note.

Question 1.3. Consider the family $\mathcal{X}$ occurring in (4). What is an explicit list of the modular curves in $\mathcal{X}$?

The modular curves in $\mathcal{X}$ of prime level ℓ correspond to maximal proper subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ and have been studied extensively. Let

$$\mathcal{E}_\ell \subseteq \left\{X_0(\ell), X^+_{\text{split}}(\ell), X^+_{\text{non-split}}(\ell), X_{A_4}(\ell), X_{S_4}(\ell), X_{A_5}(\ell)\right\} \tag{6}$$

be the set of modular curves whose rational points correspond to j-invariants of elliptic curves E for which $\rho_{E,\ell}$ is not surjective (each of the modular curves $X_{A_4}(\ell)$, $X_{S_4}(\ell)$, and $X_{A_5}(\ell)$ corresponding to the exceptional groups A_4, S_4 and A_5 only occurs for certain primes ℓ). One has

$$\bigcup_{\ell \text{ prime}} \mathcal{E}_\ell \subseteq \mathcal{X}.$$

The family $\mathcal{X}$ must also contain two other modular curves $X'(4)$ and $X''(4)$ of level 4, and another $X'(9)$ of level 9, which have been considered in [3] and [4], respectively.

In this note, we consider a modular curve $X'(6)$ of level 6 which, taken together with those listed above, completes the set $\mathcal{X}$ of modular curves occurring in (4), answering Question 1.3. First, we recall the general construction of modular curves associated to subgroups $H \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ (for more details, see [2]). Let $X(n)$ denote the complete modular curve of level n, which parametrizes elliptic curves together with chosen $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-bases of $E[n]$. Let $H \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup containing $-I$ for which the determinant map

$$\det\colon H \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$$

is surjective, and consider the quotient curve $X_H := X(n)/H$ together with the j-invariant

$$j\colon X_H \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1.$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, we have that

$$x \in j(X_H(\mathbb{Q})) \iff \begin{array}{c}\exists \text{ an elliptic curve } E \text{ over } \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \exists g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \\ \text{with } j(E) = x \text{ and } \rho_{E,n}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \subseteq g^{-1}Hg.\end{array} \tag{7}$$

Thus, to describe $X'(6)$, it suffices to describe the corresponding subgroup $H \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})$.

There is exactly one index 6 normal subgroup $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$, defined by

$$\mathcal{N} := \left\{\begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} :\ x^2 + y^2 \equiv 1 \mod 3\right\} \sqcup \left\{\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & -x \end{pmatrix} :\ x^2 + y^2 \equiv -1 \mod 3\right\}. \tag{8}$$

This subgroup fits into an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 1, \tag{9}$$

and we denote by

$$\theta\colon \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \tag{10}$$

the surjective map in the above sequence. We take $H \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ to be the graph of θ, viewed as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})$ via the Chinese Remainder Theorem. The modular curve $X'(6)$ is then defined by

$$X'(6) := X_{H'_6}, \quad \text{where } H'_6 := \{(g_2, g_3) \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) :\ g_2 = \theta(g_3)\} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}). \tag{11}$$

Unravelling (7) in this case, we find that, for every elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$,

$$j(E) \in j(X'(6)(\mathbb{Q})) \iff E \simeq_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} E' \text{ for some } E' \text{ over } \mathbb{Q} \text{ for which } \mathbb{Q}(E'[2]) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E'[3]). \tag{12}$$

By considering the geometry of the natural map $X'(6) \longrightarrow X(1)$, the curve $X'(6)$ is seen to have genus zero and one cusp. Since $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on the cusps, the single cusp must be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, thus endowing

$X'(6)$ with a rational point. Therefore $X'(6) \simeq_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{P}^1$. We prove the following theorem, which gives an explicit model of $X'(6)$.

Theorem 1.4. *There exists a uniformizer $t\colon X'(6) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with the property that*

$$j = 2^{10}3^3t^3(1-4t^3),$$

where $j\colon X'(6) \longrightarrow X(1) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ is the usual j-map.

Remark 1.5. By (12), Theorem 1.4 is equivalent to the following statement: for any elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$, E is isomorphic over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ to an elliptic curve E' satisfying

$$\mathbb{Q}(E'[2]) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E'[3])$$

if and only if $j(E) = 2^{10}3^3t^3(1-4t^3)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Furthermore, we prove the following theorem, which answers Question 1.3. For each prime ℓ, consider the set $\mathcal{G}_{\ell,\max}$ of maximal proper subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$, which surject via determinant onto $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\ell,\max} := \{H \subsetneq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) :\ \det(H) = (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times \text{ and } \nexists H_1 \text{ with } H \subsetneq H_1 \subsetneq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})\}.$$

The group $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathcal{G}_{\ell,\max}$ by conjugation, and let $\mathcal{R}_\ell$ be a set of representatives of $\mathcal{G}_{\ell,\max}$ modulo this action. By (7), the collection $\mathcal{X}$ occurring in (4) must contain as a subset

$$\mathcal{E}_\ell := \{X_H :\ H \in \mathcal{R}_\ell\}, \tag{13}$$

the set of modular curves attached to subgroups $H \in \mathcal{R}_\ell$ (this gives a more precise description of the set $\mathcal{E}_\ell$ in (6)). Furthermore, the previously mentioned modular curves $X'(4)$, $X''(4)$, and $X'(9)$ correspond to the following subgroups. Let $\varepsilon : \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ denote the unique non-trivial character, and we will view $\det\colon \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^\times \simeq \{\pm 1\}$ as taking the values ± 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
X'(4) &= X_{H_4'}, \ \text{ where } \ H_4' := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) :\ \det g = \varepsilon(g \mod 2)\} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}),\\
X''(4) &= X_{H_4''} \ \text{ where } \ H_4'' := \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})\\
X'(9) &= X_{H_9'} \ \text{ where } \ H_9' := \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ -3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}).
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

For more details on these modular curves, see [3] and [4].

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be defined by*

$$\mathcal{X} = \{X'(4), X''(4), X'(9), X'(6)\} \cup \bigcup_{\ell \text{ prime}} \mathcal{E}_\ell,$$

where $X'(4)$, $X''(4)$ and $X'(9)$ are defined by (14), $X'(6)$ is defined by (11), and $\mathcal{E}_\ell$ is as in (13). Then, for any elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$,

$$E \text{ is not a Serre curve } \iff j(E) \in \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{X}} j(X(\mathbb{Q})).$$

2. Proofs

We now prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Consider the elliptic curve $\mathbb{E}$ over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ given by

$$\mathbb{E} :\ y^2 = x^3 + 3t\left(1-4t^3\right)x + \left(1-4t^3\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} - 4t^3\right), \tag{15}$$

with discriminant and j-invariant $\Delta_{\mathbb{E}}, j(\mathbb{E}) \in \mathbb{Q}(t)$ given, respectively, by

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{E}} = -2^6 3^3 (1-4t^3)^2 \quad \text{ and } \quad j(\mathbb{E}) = 2^{10}3^3t^3(1-4t^3). \tag{16}$$

For every $t \in \mathbb{Q}$, the specialization $\mathbb{E}_t$ is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ whose discriminant $\Delta_{\mathbb{E}_t} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and j-invariant $j(\mathbb{E}_t) \in \mathbb{Q}$ are given by evaluating (16) at t. We will show that, for any $t \in \mathbb{Q}$, one has

$$\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{E}_t[2]) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{E}_t[3]). \tag{17}$$

By (12) and (16), it then follows that

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad 2^{10}3^3t^3(1-4t^3) \in j(X'(6)(\mathbb{Q})).$$

Since the natural j-map $j\colon X'(6) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and the map $t \mapsto 2^{10}3^3t^3(1-4t^3)$ both have degree 6, Theorem 1.4 will then follow. To verify (17), we will show that, for every $t \in \mathbb{Q}$, one has

$$\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{E}_t[2]) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3, \Delta_{\mathbb{E}_t}^{1/3}). \tag{18}$$

Taken together with the classical fact that, for any elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$, one has $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3, \Delta_E^{1/3}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[3])$, the containment (17) then follows. Finally, (18) follows immediately from the factorization

$$(x-e_1(t))\,(x-e_2(t))\,(x-e_3(t)) = x^3 + 3t\left(1-4t^3\right)x + \left(1-4t^3\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-4t^3\right),$$

of the 2-division polynomial $x^3 + 3t\left(1-4t^3\right)x + \left(1-4t^3\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-4t^3\right)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(t) &:= \frac{1}{6}\Delta_{\mathbb{E}_t}^{1/3} + \frac{t}{18(1-4t^3)}\Delta_{\mathbb{E}_t}^{2/3}, \\ e_2(t) &:= \frac{\zeta_3}{6}\Delta_{\mathbb{E}_t}^{1/3} + \frac{\zeta_3^2 t}{18(1-4t^3)}\Delta_{\mathbb{E}_t}^{2/3}, \text{ and} \\ e_3(t) &:= \frac{\zeta_3^2}{6}\Delta_{\mathbb{E}_t}^{1/3} + \frac{\zeta_3 t}{18(1-4t^3)}\Delta_{\mathbb{E}_t}^{2/3}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.4. □

We will now turn to Theorem 1.6, whose proof employs the following two group-theoretic lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *(Goursat's Lemma) Let G_0 and G_1 be groups and $G \subseteq G_0 \times G_1$ a subgroup satisfying*

$$\pi_i(G) = G_i \qquad (i \in \{0,1\}),$$

where π_i denotes the canonical projection onto the i-th factor. Then there exists a group Q and surjective homomorphisms $\psi_0\colon G_0 \to Q$, $\psi_1\colon G_1 \to Q$ for which

$$G = \{(g_0, g_1) \in G_0 \times G_1 : \psi_0(g_0) = \psi_1(g_1)\}. \tag{19}$$

Proof. See [9, Lemma (5.2.1)]. □

Letting ψ be an abbreviation for the ordered pair (ψ_0, ψ_1), the group G given by (19) is called the *fibered product of G_0 and G_1 over ψ*, and is commonly denoted by $G_0 \times_\psi G_1$. Notice that, for a surjective group homomorphism $f\colon Q \to Q_1$, if $f \circ \psi$ denotes the ordered pair $(f\circ\psi_0, f\circ\psi_1)$ and $G_0 \times_{f\circ\psi} G_1$ denotes the corresponding fibered product, then one has

$$G_0 \times_\psi G_1 \subseteq G_0 \times_{f\circ\psi} G_1. \tag{20}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let G_0 and G_1 be groups, let $\psi_0\colon G_0 \to Q$ and $\psi_1\colon G_1 \to Q$ be a pair of surjective homomorphisms onto a common quotient group Q, and let $H = G_0 \times_\psi G_1$ be the associated fibered product. If Q is cyclic, then one has the following equality of commutator subgroups:*

$$[H,H] = [G_0,G_0] \times [G_1,G_1].$$

Proof. See [7, Lemma 1, p. 174] (the hypothesis of this lemma is readily verified when Q is cyclic). □

Proof of Theorem 1.6. As shown in [6], one has

$$E \text{ is not a Serre curve} \iff \begin{array}{c} \exists \text{ a prime } \ell \geq 5 \text{ with } \rho_{E,\ell}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \subsetneq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}), \text{ or} \\ [\rho_{E,36}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})), \rho_{E,36}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}))] \subsetneq [\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z}), \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z})]. \end{array}$$

For each divisor d of 36, let

$$\pi_{36,d}\colon \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}) \tag{21}$$

denote the canonical projection. One checks that, for $\ell \in \{2,3\}$, any proper subgroup $H \subsetneq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ for which $\det(H) = (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$ must satisfy $[H,H] \subsetneq [\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}), \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})]$. We then define

$$\mathcal{G}_{36} := \left\{ H \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z}) : \begin{array}{c} \forall d \in \{2,3\},\, \pi_{36,d}(H) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}),\, \det(H) = (\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z})^\times, \\ \text{and } [H,H] \subsetneq [\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z}), \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z})] \end{array} \right\}, \tag{22}$$

and note that

$$E \text{ is not a Serre curve} \iff \begin{array}{c} \exists \text{ a prime } \ell \text{ and } H \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell,\max} \text{ for which } \rho_{E,\ell}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \subseteq H, \\ \text{or } \exists H \in \mathcal{G}_{36} \text{ for which } \rho_{E,36}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \subseteq H. \end{array} \tag{23}$$

As in the prime level case, we need only consider *maximal* subgroups $H \in \mathcal{G}_{36}$, and because of (7), only up to conjugation by $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z})$. Thus, we put

$$\mathcal{G}_{36,\max} := \{H \in \mathcal{G}_{36} : \nexists H_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{36} \text{ with } H \subsetneq H_1 \subsetneq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z})\},$$

we let $\mathcal{R}_{36} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{36,\max}$ be a set of representatives of $\mathcal{G}_{36,\max}$ modulo $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z})$-conjugation, and we set

$$\mathcal{E}_{36} := \{X_H : H \in \mathcal{R}_{36}\}.$$

The equivalence (23) now becomes (see (13))

$$E \text{ is not a Serre curve} \iff \begin{array}{c} \exists \text{ a prime } \ell \text{ and } X_H \in \mathcal{E}_\ell \text{ for which } j(E) \in j(X_H(\mathbb{Q})), \\ \text{or } \exists X_H \in \mathcal{E}_{36} \text{ for which } j(E) \in j(X_H(\mathbb{Q})). \end{array}$$

Thus, Theorem 1.6 will follow from the next proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *With the above notation, one may take*

$$\mathcal{R}_{36} = \{\pi_{36,4}^{-1}(H_4'), \pi_{36,4}^{-1}(H_4''), \pi_{36,9}^{-1}(H_9'), \pi_{36,6}^{-1}(H_6')\},$$

where $\pi_{36,d}$ is as in (21) *and the groups H_4', H_4'', H_9' and H_6' are given by* (14) *and* (11).

Proof. Let $H \in \mathcal{G}_{36,\max}$. If $\pi_{36,4}(H) \neq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$, then [3] shows that $\pi_{36,4}(H) \subseteq H_4'$ or $\pi_{36,4}(H) \subseteq H_4''$, up to conjugation in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$. If $\pi_{36,9}(H) \neq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$, then [4] shows that, up to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$-conjugation, one has $\pi_{36,9}(H) \subseteq H_9'$. Thus, we may now assume that $\pi_{36,4}(H) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ and $\pi_{36,9}(H) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$. By Lemma 2.1, this implies that there exists a group Q and a pair of surjective homomorphisms

$$\psi_4 \colon \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow Q$$
$$\psi_9 \colon \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow Q$$

for which $H = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \times_\psi \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$. We will now show that in this case, up to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z})$-conjugation, we have

$$H \subseteq \{(g_4, g_9) \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}) : \theta(g_9 \pmod 3) = g_4 \pmod 2\}, \tag{24}$$

where $\theta \colon \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is the map given in (10), whose graph determines the level 6 structure defining the modular curve $X'(6)$. This will finish the proof of Proposition 2.3.

Let us make the following definitions:

$$\begin{array}{cc} N_4 := \ker \psi_4 \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}), & N_9 := \ker \psi_9 \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}) \\ N_2 := \pi_{4,2}(N_4) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), & N_3 := \pi_{9,3}(N_9) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \\ Q_2 := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})/N_2, & Q_3 := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})/N_3, \end{array}$$

where $\pi_{4,2} \colon \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and $\pi_{9,3} \colon \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ denote the canonical projections. We then have the following exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{l} 1 \longrightarrow N_9 \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 \longrightarrow N_4 \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 \longrightarrow N_3 \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow Q_3 \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 \longrightarrow N_2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow Q_2 \longrightarrow 1, \end{array} \tag{25}$$

as well as

$$\begin{array}{l} 1 \longrightarrow K_2 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow Q_2 \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 \longrightarrow K_3 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow Q_3 \longrightarrow 1, \end{array} \tag{26}$$

where for each $\ell \in \{2,3\}$, the kernel $K_\ell \simeq \dfrac{\ker \pi_{\ell^2,\ell}}{N_{\ell^2} \cap \ker \pi_{\ell^2,\ell}} \subseteq \dfrac{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^2\mathbb{Z})}{N_{\ell^2}} \simeq Q$ is evidently abelian (since $\ker \pi_{\ell^2,\ell}$ is), and has order dividing $\ell^4 = |\ker \pi_{\ell^2,\ell}|$. We will proceed to prove that

$$Q_2 \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_3 \simeq Q, \tag{27}$$

which is equivalent to

$$N_4 \subseteq \ker \pi_{4,2} \quad \text{and} \quad \ker \pi_{9,3} \subseteq N_9.$$

Writing $\tilde{\psi}_4 \colon \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \to Q \to Q_2 \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and $\tilde{\psi}_9 \colon \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}) \to Q \to Q_2 \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, we then see by (20) that

$$H = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \times_\psi \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \times_{\tilde{\psi}} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}).$$

Furthermore, it follows from $Q \simeq Q_3$ that $\tilde{\psi}_9$ factors through the projection $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$. This, together with the uniqueness of $\mathcal{N}$ in (9) and the fact that every automorphism of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is inner, implies that (24) holds, up to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z})$-conjugation. Thus, the proof of Proposition 2.3 is reduced to showing that (27) holds.

We will first show that $Q_2 \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Suppose on the contrary that $Q_2 \subsetneq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Looking at the first exact sequence in (26), we see that Q must then be a 2-group, and since the K_3 has order a power of 3 (possibly 1), we see that $Q \simeq Q_3$, and the third exact sequence in (25) becomes

$$1 \longrightarrow N_3 \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1.$$

The kernel N_3 must contain an element σ of order 3, and by considering $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$-conjugates of σ, we find that $|N_3| \geq 8$. Since 3 also divides $|N_3|$, we see that $|N_3| \geq 12$, and so Q must be abelian, having order at most 4. Furthermore, since $[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}), \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})] = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$, we find that Q has order at most 2, and thus is cyclic. Applying Lemma 2.2, we find that $[H,H] = [\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z}), \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z})]$, contradicting (22). Thus, we must have that $Q_2 \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

We will now show that $Q_3 \simeq Q$. To do this, we will first take a more detailed look at the structure of the group $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$. Note the embedding of groups $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}1&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}-1&-1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&1\end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}0&1\\-1&-1\end{pmatrix},$$
$$\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}-1&-1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}1&0\\-1&-1\end{pmatrix}.$$

This embedding, followed by reduction modulo 4, splits the exact sequence

$$1 \to \ker \pi_{4,2} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to 1.$$

Also note the isomorphism $(\ker \pi_{4,2}, \cdot) \to (M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), +)$ given by $I + 2A \mapsto A \pmod 2$. These two observations realize $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ as a semi-direct product

$$\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), \tag{28}$$

where the right-hand factor is an additive group and the action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ on $M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is by conjugation. Since $Q_2 \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, we see that, under (28), one has

$$N_4 \subseteq M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}),$$

and since it is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$, we see that N_4 must be a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-subspace which is invariant under $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$-conjugation. This implies that one of the equalities in the following table must hold.

N_4	Q
$M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$
$\{A \in M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) : \operatorname{tr} A = 0\}$	$\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times \{\pm 1\}$
$\left\{\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&1\end{pmatrix}\right\}$	$\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$
$\left\{\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}\right\}$	$\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$
$\left\{\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right\}$	$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$

(We have omitted from the table the case that N_4 is trivial, since then $Q \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$, which has order $2^5 \cdot 3$ and thus cannot be a quotient of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$.) In the third row of the table, the action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ on $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ defining the semi-direct product is the usual action by matrix multiplication on column vectors, while in the fourth row of the table, the action is defined via

$$g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } g \in \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } g \in \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \end{cases}$$

Since 9 does not divide $|Q|$, the degree of the projection $Q \twoheadrightarrow Q_3$ is either 1 or 3. Inspecting the table above, we see that in all cases except $Q = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, either Q has no normal subgroup of order 3, or for each normal subgroup $K_3 \trianglelefteq Q$ of order 3, $Q_3 \simeq Q/K_3$ has $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ as a quotient group. Since $[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}), \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})] = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$, the group $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ cannot have $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ as a quotient group, and so we must have $Q \simeq Q_3$ in these cases, as desired.

When $Q = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, we must proceed differently. Suppose that $Q = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and (for the sake of contradiction) that $Q \neq Q_3$, so that the projection $Q \twoheadrightarrow Q_3$ has degree 3. Then $Q_3 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, which implies that $N_3 = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$, so that

$$N_9 \subseteq \pi_{9,3}^{-1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}).$$

Furthermore, the quotient group $\pi_{9,3}^{-1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}))/N_9 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, and in particular is abelian. A commutator calculation shows that

$$[\pi_{9,3}^{-1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})), \pi_{9,3}^{-1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}))] = \pi_{9,3}^{-1}(\mathcal{N}) \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}),$$

(see (8)) and that the corresponding quotient group satisfies

$$\pi_{9,3}^{-1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}))/[\pi_{9,3}^{-1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})), \pi_{9,3}^{-1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}))] \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

Furthermore, fixing a pair of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &\colon \left(\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \cdot \right) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, +), \\ \eta_2 &\colon (1 + 3 \cdot \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}, \cdot) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, +), \end{aligned}$$

and defining the characters

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 &\colon \pi_{9,3}^{-1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \\ \chi_2 &\colon \pi_{9,3}^{-1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

by $\chi_1 = \eta_1 \circ \theta \circ \pi_{9,3}$ and $\chi_2 = \eta_2 \circ \det$, we have that every homomorphism $\chi \colon \pi_{9,3}^{-1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})) \to \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ must satisfy

$$\chi = a_1\chi_1 + a_2\chi_2,$$

for appropriately chosen $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. In particular,

$$N_9 = \ker(a_1\chi_1 + a_2\chi_2) \tag{29}$$

for some choice of $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. One checks that

$$\exists g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}),\ x \in \pi_{9,3}^{-1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})) \text{ for which } \chi_1(gxg^{-1}) \neq \chi_1(x),$$

whereas $\chi_2(gxg^{-1}) = \chi_2(x)$ for any such choice of g and x. Since N_9 is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$, it follows that $a_1 = 0, a_2 \neq 0$ in (29). This implies that $N_9 = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$, which contradicts the fact that $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})/N_9 \simeq Q \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is non-abelian. This contradiction shows that we must have $Q \simeq Q_3$, and this verifies (27), completing the proof of Proposition 2.3. □

As already observed, the proof of Proposition 2.3 completes the proof of Theorem 1.6. □

References

[1] A.C. Cojocaru, D. Grant and N. Jones, *One-parameter families of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ with maximal Galois representations*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **103**, no. 3 (2011), 654–675.

[2] P. Deligne and M. Rapoport, *Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques*, in Modular Functions of One Variable II, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **349** (1973) 143–316.

[3] T. Dokchitser and V. Dokchitser, *Surjectivity of mod 2^n representations of elliptic curves*, Math. Z. **272** (2012), 961–964.

[4] N. Elkies, *Elliptic curves with* 3*-adic Galois representation surjective mod* 3 *but not mod* 9, preprint (2006).

[5] N. Jones, *Almost all elliptic curves are Serre curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 1547-1570.

[6] N. Jones, *GL_2-representations with maximal image*, Math. Res. Lett., to appear.

[7] S. Lang and H. Trotter, *Frobenius distribution in GL_2 extensions*, Lecture Notes in Math. **504**, Springer (1976).

[8] V. Radhakrishnan, *Asymptotic formula for the number of non-Serre curves in a two-parameter family*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder (2008).

[9] K. Ribet, *Galois action on division points of Abelian varieties with real multiplications*, Amer. J. Math. **98**, no. 3 (1976), 751–804.

[10] J-P. Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), 259–331.

[11] J-P. Serre, *Résumé des cours de 1977-1978*, Annuaire du Collège de France 1978, 67–70.

[12] D. Zywina, *Elliptic curves with maximal Galois action on their torsion points*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **42** (2010), 811–826.